

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,296	01/27/2004	Soo Sik Yoon	NEIT-P0020	9295
27268	7590 12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS LLP 300 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET		KORNAKOV, MICHAIL		
SUITE 2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			1746	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/765,296	YOON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Kornakov	1746	

	WICHAEL KOMAKOV	1740					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil rided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance				
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO(00/00 DTO 4440) Dans - N	(-/-)					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	F10/56/08 or P10-1449) Paper N	(S)					
10. L. Ouigi							
N. Con	NAKON	Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 1746

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces the limitations of previus claim 4 into claim 1. This changes dependency and scope of dependent claims, and thus requires new consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants refer to the preferred embodiment of US 6,060,397, which has the ratio of fluorocarbon to oxygen 1:1. However, in col.4, lines 43-55, a variety of flow rates for both gases is given that encompass the instantly claimed ranges.